IMPORTANT LEGAL INFORMATION

This commentary reflects the analysis and opinions of the authors as of 13 March 2019, and may differ from the opinions of other portfolio managers, investment teams or platforms at Franklin Templeton Investments.

Because market and economic conditions are subject to rapid change, the analysis and opinions provided are valid only as of 13 March 2019, and may change without notice. Statements of fact are from sources considered reliable, but no representation or warranty is made as to their completeness or accuracy.

The companies and case studies shown herein are used solely for illustrative purposes; any investment may or may not be currently held by any portfolio advised by Franklin Templeton Investments. The opinions are intended solely to provide insight into how securities are analyzed. The information provided is not a recommendation or individual investment advice for any particular security, strategy, or investment product and is not an indication of the trading intent of any Franklin Templeton managed portfolio. This is not a complete analysis of every material fact regarding any industry, security or investment and should not be viewed as an investment recommendation. This is intended to provide insight into the portfolio selection and research process. Factual statements are taken from sources considered reliable, but have not been independently verified for completeness or accuracy. These opinions may not be relied upon as investment advice or as an offer for any particular security. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

WHAT ARE THE RISKS?

All investments involve risks, including possible loss of principal. Special risks are associated with foreign investing, including currency fluctuations, economic instability and political developments. Investments in emerging markets, of which frontier markets are a subset, involve heightened risks related to the same factors, in addition to those associated with these markets' smaller size, lesser liquidity and lack of established legal, political, business and social frameworks to support securities markets. Because these frameworks are typically even less developed in frontier markets, as well as various factors including the increased potential for extreme price volatility, illiquidity, trade barriers and exchange controls, the risks associated with emerging markets are magnified in frontier markets. Bond prices generally move in the opposite direction of interest rates. Thus, as prices of bonds in an investment portfolio adjust to a rise in interest rates, the value of the portfolio may decline.
Emerging Markets Come of Age

Introduction

Emerging markets (EMs) have made great strides in the past few decades, yet outdated perceptions about them persist. The implications are serious. Investors who hold on to old assumptions about EMs are likely to overlook the transformation of these economies—and systematically under-allocate to the fastest-growing parts of the world.

We believe that an undeniable change in the long-term narrative for the entire asset class is taking place, driven by the pursuit of a better life. This change is so apparent that the term, “emerging” doesn’t seem to be the right nomenclature for these markets today. These economies have deliberately adjusted their policies, implementing reforms in ways that are now demonstrating results. This paper explores three areas we believe investors should pay attention to that together constitute a new reality in EMs—one that challenges enduring misperceptions:

**New Reality #1:** EM institutions have made policy improvements that should contribute to increased resilience during times of stress.

**New Reality #2:** EM economies have diversified, with consumption and technology offering new drivers of growth.

**New Reality #3:** EM companies are leapfrogging established models through innovation and technology.

“An undeniable change in the long-term narrative for the entire asset class is taking place, driven by the pursuit of a better life.”
The New Emerging Market Landscape

From Brazil to Malaysia, the first group of EMs that debuted 30 years ago stood out as a mixed collection of commodity exporters, low-cost manufacturers and not much else. Today’s EMs, however, tell a different story.

Meaningful progress on various fronts has fundamentally changed the secular EM narrative. It now revolves around growing incomes and an improving quality of life, driven by people’s powerful desire for a better future. As a reflection of how far EMs have come, consider they drove almost half (44%) of the world’s GDP growth in 2017—up from 20% in 1987 (Exhibit 1).

Even so, outdated perceptions about EMs persist, and they have become a major cause of their under-representation in many investors’ portfolios. Sticking with these old assumptions can have serious implications for investors; they are likely to overlook the transformation of these economies and continue to systematically under-allocate to the fastest-growing parts of the world.

In this paper, we challenge three common myths about EMs, and explain why investors should reconsider the potential risks and rewards of EM investing.

EMs Increasingly Driving Global Growth

Exhibit 1: Emerging Markets as % of World GDP

Source: International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Economic Outlook as of October 2018.
Never Waste a Crisis

New Reality #1: EM institutions have made policy improvements that should contribute to increased resilience during times of stress.

EMs continue to be perceived as vulnerable to economic crises. Without question, EMs have had their fair share of turmoil. The Asian Financial Crisis (AFC) in the late 1990s, marked by currency crashes and equity sell-offs, was a defining moment in EMs’ collective history. The crisis brought home the dangers of running large current account deficits and over-relying on short-term US-dollarborrowings, all the while defending currencies pegged to the dollar with slim foreign reserves. Banks were not spared, as those with high levels of non-performing loans and thin capital cushions came under pressure.

Today, headline-grabbing problems in outlier EMs such as Turkey, Argentina, Venezuela and Pakistan reinforce dated perceptions. However, these are very small countries in the context of the MSCI EM Index. Turkey, which is the largest of this group, comprises less than a 1% weight in the benchmark. To put this size into perspective, there are at least 10 companies individually larger than the country weight of Turkey in MSCI EM Index1.

We view these countries as substantial outliers given their debt and other challenges. Although the broad economic characteristics of these four lagging EM countries have worsened relative to their own ten-year history, many other EM markets have improved. We believe positive change is underway. EM institutions, in many cases, have drawn lessons from past crises and continue to strengthen policies and governance. In our view, the reforms have made some EMs more resilient to various sources of stress.

Specifically, there are a number of compelling signs of institutional and policy improvements. First, EMs have collectively increased their foreign reserve holdings since the early 1990s (Exhibit 2). Reserves matter because they are a key pillar of support for currencies.

---

1. Indexes are unmanaged, and one cannot invest directly in an index. They do not reflect any fees, expenses or sales charges.
Secondly, many EMs have abandoned currency pegs to the US dollar, making them less vulnerable to speculation or a strengthening of the greenback. EMs that made the move include those that were most severely affected by the AFC, such as Thailand and South Korea.

As a whole, EMs have also reduced their reliance on US-dollar debt. At the same time, they have been diversifying their sources of borrowing, and local currency debt issuance has increased (Exhibit 3).

Finally, EM banking systems reflect improving health. Regulators in many markets have raised the bar for areas such as risk management and capital adequacy, in line with global trends (Exhibit 4).

**EMs Diversifying Sources of Borrowing**

*Exhibit 3: US Denominated vs Local Currency Debt*


**Banking Systems Don’t Signal Crisis**

*Exhibit 4: Improving Health of Emerging Markets Banking Systems*

Source: World Bank, based on a simple average of the Countries in the MSCI EM Banks Index. As of December 2018. Indices are unmanaged, and one cannot invest directly in an index.
An overall improvement in EM policies and fundamentals provides a vital buffer against some of EMs’ traditional threats—higher US interest rates and a stronger US dollar. EMs may even be better-positioned to manage these challenges compared with developed markets (DMs) today, where debt ratios are considerably higher by comparison. Government debt to GDP is around 46% in EMs against 98% in DMs; household debt is also a smaller percentage of GDP in EMs than in DMs (Exhibit 5).

Rising Rates Impact Global Debt
Exhibit 5: Higher Rates to Disproportionately Impact Developed Markets

Emerging vs. Developed Markets: Debt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Government Debt % of GDP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Markets</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household Debt % of GDP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed Markets</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The Bank for International Settlements (BIS), as of September 2018. Credit to non-financial sector* from all sectors at market value - percentage of GDP.

*non-financial sector (both private-owned and public-owned).
Certainly, the EM universe has its outliers, and not every country has embraced responsible and credible macroeconomic policies (Exhibit 6). Much-publicised setbacks in certain economies have occasionally colored investors’ perception of EMs as an asset class and led to broad equity market routs. But given the overall improvement we have seen in EM institutions and policies, we do not expect the travails of such countries to result in broader macroeconomic contagion in the manner we have seen in the past.

Importantly, the disparity among EM challenges points to the importance of taking an active approach in EM investing. Against the broader trend of EM institutional improvement, investors should remain mindful about distinguishing more resilient economies from weaker ones.

**The disparity among EM challenges points to the importance of taking an active approach in EM investing.**

---

**EM Countries Show a Range of Fiscal Approaches**

*Exhibit 6: Gross External Financing Requirements (% of FX Reserves)*

Source: FactSet, IMF, Capital Research, as of June 2018.
Constant Evolution

New Reality #2: EM economies have diversified, with consumption and technology offering new drivers of growth.

A simple roadmap guided most EMs looking for growth some 20 to 30 years ago—produce and sell abroad. Countries with abundant reserves of oil, precious metals or other commodities shipped them to resource-hungry industrialised nations. Other economies tapped large pools of affordable labor to become cheap manufacturing hubs for the West. Unsurprisingly, the fortunes of these EMs often shifted with the rest of the world’s.

The echoes of this past remain strong. Many investors continue to view EMs as cheap exporters reliant on DMs for growth and are uneasy about the perceived cyclicality of EM investing.

EM Countries Draw on Both Domestic and Foreign Revenues

Exhibit 7: MSCI EM Domestic vs Foreign Revenue by Country

While a public narrative focused on EMs’ economic exposure to DMs continues to make headlines, it neglects to recognise that EM economies have evolved dramatically as they have moved up the development ladder.

In some of the largest EMs, such as China, we are seeing the rapid rise of homegrown economic drivers—consumption and technology—overshadow old industries linked to commodities or low-cost exports. Rapidly rising domestic consumption within large economies such as China has, in turn, resulted in a greater share of intra-EM economic exposure relative to DMs (Exhibit 7).

Source: Franklin Templeton Capital Market Insight Group, MSCI, FactSet, as of August 2018.
Changes in the MSCI EM Index illustrate the shifting economic landscape. In the past ten years, energy has nearly halved its weighting in the MSCI EM index from 15% to 8%; the materials sector has also shrunk (Exhibit 8). However, information technology’s weighting has more than doubled from 11% to 27%, and the consumer discretionary sector has also grown.

“...In the past decade, China has displaced the US to become the top export destination for many EMs.”

Shrinking Materials Sector, Growing Technology Sector

Exhibit 8: MSCI EM select sector change

Source: Franklin Templeton Capital Market Insights Group, MSCI, and FactSet, 10-year period as of August 2018.
Penetration and premiumisation

Consumption has played a significant role in propelling emerging economies. A growing middle class, confident in its newfound wealth and outlook, has been spending more on goods and services at home. Companies ranging from retailers to banks have seen market penetration increase.

Beyond this trend lies another, known as “premiumisation.” As incomes continue to rise, demand for higher-quality goods and lifestyle experiences has picked up. Altogether, EM consumption looks set to climb further and exceed that in DMs by a wide margin (Exhibit 9).

Just how strong an economic engine can consumption be? Look to China. Consumption has overtaken trade and investment combined to account for more than half of the country’s GDP. The might of Chinese consumers has even been felt abroad. In the past decade, China has displaced the US to become the top export destination for many EMs, thanks in part to its booming consumer market. The rise of intra-EM trade signals EMs’ decreased dependence on DMs for growth (Exhibit 10).

China Increasingly Dominant as an Export Market

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Climbing the technology curve

Then there is technology. EMs that achieved initial success as producers of cheap home appliances or electronic parts have set their sights further up the value chain. Indeed, with China and South Korea as leading examples, the EM share of global high value-add exports has risen dramatically since the start of the twenty-first century, rendering this impression out of step with reality. (Exhibit 11).

To be sure, consumption and technology have not taken off at the same pace across every EM. Nonetheless, we believe EMs together are on a sure path of transformation, lifted by the age-old desire for progress. We believe their new domestic drivers of growth are poised to be steadier and more sustainable than the traditional drivers of the past.

Moving Up The Value Chain


![Chart showing percentage of world high value-add exports from 1990 to 2017 for various countries]

Source: Franklin Templeton Capital Market Insights Group, MSCI, and FactSet, as of December 2017.
Global Innovators

**New Reality #3:** EM companies are leapfrogging established models through innovation and technology.

As a common fallacy goes, the past predicts the future. And so many investors expect EMs to track DMs’ development path. By extension, as DMs move up the technology curve, EMs should naturally follow behind.

We disagree. Several EMs have seized the lead in innovation and are leapfrogging the West in areas such as e-commerce, digital payment, mobile banking and electric vehicles. As a broad indication of EMs’ collective advance, they have overtaken the US and Japan in terms of patent applications (Exhibit 12).

Coupled with the inflection in patent applications, we also see a long runway of growth for the semiconductor industry amid rapid developments in areas such as the Internet of Things, artificial intelligence, and autonomous driving with direct implications for EM company prospects.

**EM Acceleration in Innovation**


For instance, South Korea and Taiwan already stand tall as two of the world’s largest semiconductor manufacturers today. South Korea-based Samsung Electronics, which made its mark producing televisions in the 1970s, has gained global dominance in memory chips. Meanwhile, some of the most sophisticated chips powering a host of devices such as smartphones and servers come from Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company (TSMC). This is a company with an exceptional track record in first developing and then extending an intellectual property lead over established US competitors such as United Foundries and Intel.

In some ways, EMs’ weaknesses have become their strengths. Unhindered by sunk investments in legacy systems or infrastructure, they have had ample room to come up with creative solutions for some of their biggest challenges.

![Patent Applications Chart](chart.jpg)

Take e-commerce as an example. In China, a confluence of economic, social and technological changes gave online shopping a solid start. A new generation of affluent and savvy consumers, faced with a lack of retail stores and malls, began turning to the internet with their smartphones to purchase goods online. Today, e-commerce makes up a far higher percentage of retail sales in China than in the US (Exhibit 13).

Alibaba Group has emerged as a clear winner in China’s competitive e-commerce industry. The company, which did not exist until 1999, has become one of the world’s largest retailers and reaped an annual revenue in 2018 of $51.9 billion USD. Not content with its success at home, it has ventured into regions such as Asia and is shaking up retail models abroad.

EMs’ Faster Adoption of Technology

Source: CLSA, Nielson, and Goldman Sachs Investment Research, as of December 2018.
China is also breaking new ground when it comes to digital payments. E-commerce has played a part; so has the slow development of credit card systems. Consumers paying for online purchases have found it easier to tap Alibaba’s payment function Alipay. Meanwhile, WeChat Pay, launched by gaming and social media company Tencent, has gained traction among the company’s massive user base. Digital payments, whether for food or transport, have become commonplace across China. The value of China’s digital payment market is multiple times that of the US (Exhibit 14).

China is not the only example. Halfway around the world, Latin America is rewriting rules in the retail industry. As physical stores venture into e-commerce, gaps in existing delivery systems have compelled retailers there to invest in their own logistics and distribution networks to get their products into consumers’ hands. Brazil-based Lojas Americanas is among companies that are reinventing business models in this regard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Dominance of Third-Party Payments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exhibit 14: Total Value of Third-Party Payments (2010 vs 2017)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value (US$ tn)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Nielsen, Goldman Sachs Global Investment Research, as of December 2017.

*Third-party payments: Payments processed by non-bank firms. It is usually done via desktop, mobile devices or non-bank terminals.*

Of course, technological progress is uneven across EMs. But some of the most disruptive innovations have hailed from these markets and we expect them to pull ahead of DMs in an increasing number of areas. Certain EM companies have shown exceptional agility in solving consumers’ problems, and those that can continue to do so are likely to enjoy sustainable earning power ahead.

---

**Mirroring the rise of EMs: Alibaba, a leading global tech player**

Alibaba is a prime example of a company that has taken the global lead in innovation. It straddles the fields of consumption and technology—China’s new economic engines—and has been relentless in exploring new ways to extend its reach.

The e-commerce giant’s Singles Day shopping event demonstrates the scale of the opportunity it has. This is a 24-hour online shopping event, similar to Black Friday or Cyber Monday in the US, which takes place on the 11th of November each year. The most recent, in 2018, generated sales of $30.8 billion. By comparison, the total online sales in the US across Thanksgiving, Black Friday and Cyber Monday was $17.9 billion.

More recently, Alibaba has rolled out its Ling Shou Tong program—a service aimed at bringing traditional mom-and-pop shops into its vast ecosystem by helping them improve inventory management with big data. Success in this area could upend the conventional view of e-commerce as a rival to physical stores.
Capitalising on Potential Opportunities

All told, we are witnessing a transformation in the EM landscape. EM institutions continue to strengthen, and better policies have led to steadier economic fundamentals. EMs are also diversifying their economies. Together, they have become more domestically driven, aided by consumption and technology as new economic engines. When it comes to technology, a number of EM companies have made exceptional progress and are leapfrogging established DM counterparts in multiple industries.

None of this is to downplay the challenges that certain EMs still face in their development. This is where on-the-ground research and an active investment approach becomes critical. We believe, however, that an undeniable change in the long-term narrative for the entire asset class is taking place, driven by the pursuit of a better life. While the macro backdrop will likely continue to influence EM equity performance over the short-to-intermediate term, people’s desires and ambitions are a powerful driver of progress that will not easily be denied.

In this context, we urge investors to revisit their approach to EM investing. Carefully considering a core allocation that more closely reflects EMs’ structural prospects will provide meaningful exposure to a different set of growth drivers than a predominantly DM-focused strategy.

At the same time, taking a longer-term view will allow the powerful trends at work enough time to play out without fear of being whipsawed by episodic market volatility. In addition, we believe that the best investment results require an active approach; the ability to identify companies with sustainable earnings power that trade at a discount to their intrinsic value. While this framework is a departure from some of the more traditional asset allocation approaches, we think it should help prepare investors to best take advantage of the opportunities available in the EM asset class today.

Key Takeaways

• EM institutions have made policy improvements that should contribute to increased resilience during times of stress.
• EM economies have diversified, with consumption and technology offering new drivers of growth.
• EM companies are leapfrogging established models through innovation and technology.

“People’s desire and ambitions are a powerful driver of progress that will not easily be denied.”
Local Knowledge, Global Reach

Emerging markets are complex, heterogeneous, and constantly evolving. Our on-the-ground investment team of over 80 portfolio managers and analysts across 20 countries distinguishes Franklin Templeton Emerging Markets Equity from the crowd. Investors benefit from our networks of local business contacts, access to in-person company visits and real time response to local market events. Our global reach through Franklin Templeton Investments provides access to sophisticated risk management and trading resources.

Deepened research approach

This robust research footprint facilitates the creation of customised investment strategies that might draw on specific country and/or regional research across the globe. Our fund offerings are customised in terms of both breadth and depth to span market capitalisation spectrums and/or capital structures. We believe that the quality and thoroughness of our proprietary research, combined with our flexible yet disciplined approach, offer the potential for strong returns across emerging markets.
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